JUDGE#

## WESEF Engineering Rubric Poster ID#

Please use your best judgment; the following is a set of criteria that can assist you in interviewing the presenters and aid in your evaluation of the students' projects. Please circle a number; you must choose a whole number.

Guidelines					N	otes				
Introduction & Background     Provides an intro that begins with general info leading up to the area of research, student understands how their research builds upon previous work	Lowest <b>1</b>	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Highest <b>10</b>
II. Problem Statement/Goals										
<ul> <li>Student clearly explained the goals or purpose of the research</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Explains how the goal addresses a problem (gap in previous research)</li> </ul>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
III. Methods/ Materials										
<ul> <li>Student clearly identifies their role &amp; the mentor's role in the project</li> <li>Uses an efficient and reliable method for scientific work</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>A clear plan was shown and variables were identified</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>If controls were necessary, appropriate controls were correctly used</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Objective is relevant to the potential users' needs</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Solution can be utilized successfully in design or construction of an end product</li> </ul>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
IV. Results / Analysis										
<ul> <li>Student clearly and adequately explained the results of his/her work</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Solution is a significant improvement over previous alternatives</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Solution has been tested for performance under conditions of use</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Student was able to explain method of analysis used</li> </ul>										
If statistical analysis was used, student was able to adequately explain the results	Lowest 1 2	3	4 5	6 7	7 8	9 10	11	12	13	Highest <b>14 15</b>

## **WESEF Engineering Rubric**

----- Rubric continues onto the back -----

<ul> <li>V. Discussion/Application</li> <li>Student understands the relationship of his/her results with respect to the goals identified</li> <li>Student interpreted the data in a way that is consistent with the original hypothesis/problem statement</li> <li>The research supported a creative approach to addressing a scientific question or problem</li> <li>The student provided possible applications of his/her work</li> </ul>	Lowest 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Highest <b>10</b>
<ul> <li>VI. Conclusion/ Future Research</li> <li>Sound conclusions were made based on the data/schematics presented</li> <li>Project was carried out to completion within the scope of the original intent</li> <li>Demonstrated a clear direction for further study in the area of research</li> </ul>	1	2	2	4		6	7	•	0	10
V// 0 15 65 65	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<ul> <li>VII. Quality of Presentation</li> <li>Student presented the material in a clear and organized manner</li> <li>The student demonstrated enthusiasm in the presentation of his/her research</li> </ul>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<ul> <li>VIII. Student Understanding</li> <li>Student demonstrated understanding of the project by answering specific questions</li> <li>Student clearly explains all aspects of the project</li> </ul>	1 2	3	4 5	6 7	8	9 10	11	12	13	14 15
<ul> <li>IX. Physical Poster Organization</li> <li>The poster demonstrated an organized scientific approach</li> <li>Poster clearly showed the data that was presented in identifiable tables and or figures</li> <li>Poster clearly presented the specific goals or specific purpose of research study</li> <li>Text was clearly visible with readable formatting</li> <li>Information was creatively organized in a way that enhanced the presentation of student's research</li> </ul>	Lowest <b>1</b>	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Highest <b>10</b>