## Junior Science & Humanities Symposium Judging Score Sheet



Name of Student:	Name of Judge:
------------------	----------------

JSHS recognizes students for original research achievements in the sciences, technology, engineering or mathematics (STEM). The overall test is that students demonstrate valid investigation and experimentation aimed at discovery of knowledge. The judging criteria and scoring for JSHS are presented. A total score of 30 points is assigned using the below scale and serves as the basis for discussions among the judging team. Rank each students' oral presentation using the following criteria and weights:

5 = Superior 4 = Excellent 3 = Good 2 = Satisfactory 1=Fair

Judging Criteria		<b>Suggested Weight</b>		
tatement and identification of research problem	_			_
Is the problem clearly stated?	1	2 3	4	5
Does the presenter demonstrate understanding of existing knowledge about the research problem?				
cientific thought, creativity/originality				
Student demonstrates his or her individual contributions to and understanding of the research problem				
Appropriate duration of collection and data analysis	_		_	_
Innovation of Original Concept and Scientific Thought/Process	1	2 3	4	5
<ul> <li>Standard Protocol/Design</li> </ul>				
o Innovative Protocol/Design				
esearch design, procedures (materials & methods), results				
Science  • Appropriateness of research design and procedures				
<ul> <li>Appropriateness of research design and procedures</li> <li>Process skills demonstrated by the student in the solution to the research problem and/or the research</li> </ul>				
design				
Identification and control of variables		0 0		_
Reproducibility	1	2 3	4	ວ
Engineering, computer science, technology				
Workable solution that is acceptable to a potential user				
Recognition of economic feasibility of solution				
Recognition of relationship between design and end product				
Tested for performance under conditions of use				
·				
Results offer an improvement over previous alternatives				
iscussion/Conclusions				
Clarity in stating conclusion				
Logical conclusion that is relevant to the research problem and the results of experimentation or testing	_		_	_
Recognizes limits and significance of results	1	2 3	4	5
Evidence of student's understanding of the scientific or technological principles				
Theoretical or practical implications recognized				
What was learned?				
kill in communicating research results Oral Presentation and written report				
Clarity in communicating research results to non-specialized audience and to judges				
Definition of terms as necessary	1	2 3	4	5
Appropriate use of audio-visuals				
Response to questions from audience and judges				
ncludes References/Bibliography and acknowledges major assistance received	1	2 3	4	5
		<b>_</b>	-	